Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/510,942	JANKOWSKI, BRUCE K.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	VICTORIA W. CHEN	3739
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Appeal Brief filed 5/14/10</u>		
(1) <u>VICTORIA W. CHEN</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Francesco Sardone</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>12 July 2010</u>	Time: <u>11:45AM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 USC 102(b) prior art rejections under Turkel and Yoon references regarding independent claims 1, 15, 21, 22 and 23		
Claims discussed: 1, 15, 21, 22, 23		
Prior art documents discussed: Turkel, Yoon		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	ne examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Victoria W Chen/ Examiner, Art Unit 3739	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/510,942

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: During the appeal conference on 7/12/10, it was decided that the limitation of the "planar distal end wall" as recited in independent claims 1, 15, 21 and 22 overcome the prior art references of Turkel and Yoon. However, claim 23 lacked this limitation, and thus the examiner and applicant agreed to cancel claim 23, and proceed to allow claims 1-22..